SHSP PROCESS APPROVAL CHECKLIST

The Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) statute (23 U.S.C. 148) requires States to have an updated, approved Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP). A State's SHSP update process will be approved if: (1) the SHSP is consistent with section 148(d) and 148(a)(11); and (2) the process the State used to update the SHSP is consistent with the requirements of section 148. (23 U.S.C. 148(d)(2)(B)). The updated SHSP should be submitted to the FHWA Division Administrator (23 U.S.C. 148 (d)(2)(A)(ii)). The Division Administrator will notify the State that the updated SHSP process has been reviewed and approved.

This SHSP Process Approval Checklist is a tool to help Division Offices assess the process and completeness of a State's SHSP update. It is meant for FHWA internal use and recordkeeping. The factors outlined represent the required process elements of a State-developed SHSP as outlined in the HSIP statute (23 U.S.C. 148) and in the implementing regulations (23 CFR Part 924). The SHSP Guidance and HSIP Regulation (found at: http://safetytest.fhwa.dot.gov/legislationandpolicy/fast/) include additional information on these elements and should be used as reference documents for this checklist.

This checklist consists of three columns:

- A. **SHSP Requirements:** Column A lists the key SHSP requirements, by topic area, for the SHSP and the specific reference in the HSIP statute or regulation.
- B. Indicators: Column B provides indicators that the State meets the requirement.
- C. **Requirement Status:** Column C provides a place for the Division Office to document the determination for each requirement (meets or does not meet) and to document supporting information for whether the State has satisfied or not satisfied the requirement.

There is also a space to indicate the period (years) covered by this SHSP and the approval date. The approval date is the date the Governor, or Governor's designee signs/approves the SHSP. This date determines the due date for the next SHSP update, which is no later than 5 years from the date of the previous approved version.

Period Covered by SHSP:		
SHSP Approval Date:		
Next SHSP due no later than (5 years from ag	oproval date):	

A. SHSP REQUIREMENTS	B. INDICATORS THAT STATE MEETS REQUIREMENTS	C. REQUIREMENT STATUS
	CONSULTATION	
 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(11); 23 CFR 924.9 (a)(3)(ii) An SHSP update shall Be developed by the State DOT in consultation with safety stakeholders. 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(11)(A); 23 CFR 924.3 Safety stakeholder means, but is not limited to: A highway safety representative of the Governor of the State; Regional transportation planning organizations and metropolitan planning organizations, if any; Representatives of major modes of transportation; State and local traffic enforcement officials; A highway-rail grade crossing safety representative of the Governor of the State; Representatives conducting a motor carrier safety program under section 31102, 31106, or 31309 of title 49; Motor vehicle administration agencies; County transportation officials; State representatives of non-motorized users; and Other Federal, State, tribal, and local safety stakeholders. 	 ✓ The State conferred with stakeholders early in the SHSP update process, considered their input prior to decision making, and routinely informed them about actions taken regarding SHSP development. ✓ There was local and tribal involvement. ✓ Stakeholders from a variety of disciplines were involved and representatives from all "4 E's" (engineering, enforcement, education and EMS) participated in the development of the SHSP. ✓ Stakeholders' concerns were given adequate consideration. ✓ If a stakeholder was not consulted during the SHSP update process, is there a satisfactory explanation for its absence. 	☐ MEETS REQUIREMENT ☐ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT SUPPORTING INFORMATION:
staketiolders.	DATA	
23 U.S.C. 148(d)(1)(B); 23 CFR 924.9 (a)(3)(vi) An SHSP update shall	✓ The State has used the best available safety data to identify critical highway safety problems (i.e.,	☐ MEETS REQUIREMENT

A. SHSP REQUIREMENTS	B. INDICATORS THAT STATE MEETS REQUIREMENTS	C. REQUIREMENT STATUS
Analyze and make effective use of safety data to address safety problems and opportunities on all public roads and for all road users.	emphasis areas) and safety improvement opportunities on all public roads. O Safety data means crash (e.g., fatality and serious injury), roadway, and traffic data on a public road, and, includes, in the case of a railway-highway grade crossing, the characteristics of highway and train traffic, licensing, and vehicle data.	□ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT SUPPORTING INFORMATION:
	✓ Safety analysis tools, (e.g., the HSM SafetyAnalyst, IHSDM) and methods (e.g., trend analyses, benefit/cost analysis) were used to help identify safety issues and to determine strategies for improvements.	
23 U.S.C. 148 (a)(11)(D) An SHSP update shall Consider the safety needs of, and high-fatality segments of, all public roads including non-State-	✓ SHSP emphasis areas and strategies address State and non-State-owned public roads and roads on tribal land, when applicable.	□ MEETS REQUIREMENT□ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT
owned public roads and roads on tribal land.	The State engaged local and tribal agencies in the SHSP update process.	SUPPORTING INFORMATION:
	✓ The data analysis included data for non-State- owned roads and roads on tribal land.	
	✓ There are specific emphasis areas or strategies targeting the safety needs of non-State-owned roads and roads on tribal land. If not, did the data warrant the exclusion?	
23 CFR 924.9 (a)(3)(vii) An SHSP update shall	✓ The SHSP includes emphasis areas and strategies based on data with the greatest potential to reduce	MEETS REQUIREMENT
Identify key emphasis areas and strategies that have the greatest potential to reduce highway	highway fatalities and serious injuries.	DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT
fatalities and serious injuries and focus resources on areas of greatest need.	✓ High priority was given to those strategies that can significantly reduce roadway fatalities and serious	SUPPORTING INFORMATION:

A. SHSP REQUIREMENTS	B. INDICATORS THAT STATE MEETS	C. REQUIREMENT STATUS
	REQUIREMENTS	
23 U.S.C. 148 (d)(1)(B) An SHSP update shall	injuries in the SHSP emphasis areas. ✓ The State considered systemic improvements and low-cost countermeasures. ✓ A variety of data (as listed in column A) and safety programs were analyzed, reviewed and considered	☐ MEETS REQUIREMENT
Take into consideration, with respect to updated strategic highway safety plans— the fin dings of road safety audits the locations of fatalities and serious injuries	when determining SHSP Emphasis Areas and strategies in the updated SHSP. Below are some examples:	ODES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT SUPPORTING INFORMATION:
 the locations that do not have an empirical history of fatalities and serious injuries, but possess risk factors for potential crashes rural roads, including all public roads, commensurate with fatality data 	✓ The State considered the findings of road safety audits (RSA). RSA findings should be analyzed in the aggregate to identify common countermeasure recommendations for systemic improvements.	
 motor vehicle crashes that include fatalities or serious injuries to pedestrians and bicyclists the cost-effectiveness of improvements improvements to rail-highway grade crossings safety on all public roads, including non- 	The State used its safety data systems to identify hazardous locations, sections, and elements that are a danger to all road users, including vehicle occupants and non-occupant users of the roadway (e.g., pedestrians and bicyclists), and to identify fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads by location.	
State-owned public roads and roads on tribal land.	✓ The State considered the <u>systemic approach</u> in the SHSP development process, e.g., the State identified/considered low cost countermeasures that can be deployed widely across the system.	
	The State conducted a benefit/cost analysis associated with countermeasures (e.g., crash reduction, etc.), expressed in monetary terms, to the cost of implementing the countermeasures, and to help it prioritize countermeasures or projects and optimize the return on investment.	

A. SHSP REQUIREMENTS	B. INDICATORS THAT STATE MEETS REQUIREMENTS	C. REQUIREMENT STATUS
	 ✓ The State considered the relative risk of fatalities and serious injuries at railway-highway grade crossings compared to other high risk areas of roadway safety in the State. States could accomplish this by evaluating the number and rates of fatalities and serious injuries at railway-highway grade crossings compared to other potential emphasis areas or priority areas. In addition, when evaluating the risks at crossings, States should review the inventory and collision data (inventory data include the location of the crossing, volumes of highway and train traffic over the crossing, and physical elements of the crossing). ✓ The State coordinated its data analysis with other agencies (within State, local, and tribal jurisdictions). ✓ The State considered whether motor vehicle related 	
	bicycle or pedestrian fatalities or serious injuries have increased; if so, an emphasis area or strategy to address the problem could be included in the SHSP.	
	PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT	
23 CFR 924.9 (a)(3)(v) An SHSP update shall Adopt performance-based goals that:	✓ The SHSP goals are consistent with 23 U.S.C. 150 and are based on analysis of crash and other safety data.	□ MEETS REQUIREMENT□ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT
 Are consistent with safety performance measures established by FHWA in accordance with 23 U.S.C. 150; and Are coordinated with other State highway safety programs 	 ✓ The SHSP includes multi-year objectives, which encourages monitoring of the status and progress of SHSP implementation efforts. ✓ The SHSP objectives are SMART (specific, 	SUPPORTING INFORMATION:

A. SHSP REQUIREMENTS	B. INDICATORS THAT STATE MEETS REQUIREMENTS	C. REQUIREMENT STATUS
	 measurable, action-oriented, reasonable and time bound). ✓ The State involved the State Highway Safety Office and other relevant agencies in the development of SHSP goals and objectives to create consistency among safety plans and programs. ✓ The State considered how the goals and objectives can be adopted by other agencies. 	
	MULTIDISCIPLINARY APPROACH AND COORDINATION	
23 U.S.C. 148(a)(11)(C); 23 CFR 924.9 (a)(3)(viii) An SHSP update shall Address engineering, management, operations, education, enforcement, and emergency services elements of highway safety as key features when determining SHSP strategies.	 ✓ The State considered the highway safety elements of engineering, education, enforcement and emergency medical services (the 4 Es) when determining strategies to address SHSP emphasis areas. ✓ The State has multidisciplinary, "4 E", representation on SHSP committees and emphasis area teams. 	□ MEETS REQUIREMENT□ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENTSUPPORTING INFORMATION:
23 U.S.C. 148(a)(11)(E); 23 CFR 924.9 (a)(3)(ix) An SHSP update shall Consider the results of State, regional, local, and tribal transportation and highway safety planning processes and demonstrate mutual consultation among partners in the development of transportation safety plans.	 ✓ The State coordinated with other planning processes, including but not limited to, the State's Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP), Highway Safety Plan (HSP), Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan (CVSP), Statewide Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Plans, local road safety plans, tribal safety plans, etc. ✓ There is a process to align high level goals, performance measures, strategies and objectives among the plans. ✓ The State considered how the SHSP emphasis areas compare with the priorities of the other plans or 	 □ MEETS REQUIREMENT □ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT SUPPORTING INFORMATION:

A. SHSP REQUIREMENTS	B. INDICATORS THAT STATE MEETS REQUIREMENTS	C. REQUIREMENT STATUS
23 U.S.C. 148 (α)(11)(H); 23 CFR 924.9(c)	processes. ✓ The State considered how the other plans and processes will play a role in implementing the SHSP. ✓ Consistency with 23 U.S.C. 135(g) is demonstrated,	☐ MEETS REQUIREMENT
The SHSP must be consistent with 23 U.S.C. 135(g), which pertains to the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).	for example, by showing that the SHSP and STIP were developed cooperatively by the same planning partners.	☐ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT SUPPORTING INFORMATION:
	The State considered how the emphasis areas and strategies in the SHSP will be implemented through the statewide transportation planning and programming process.	
	 ✓ The State plans to implement the SHSP through the HSIP. ✓ There is a process in place to ensure that HSIP 	
	projects identified in the STIP are consistent with and address SHSP priorities.	
23 CFR 924.9 (a)(3)(x) An SHSP update shall Provide strategic direction for other State and local/tribal transportation plans, such as the HSIP,	State, local and tribal entities were actively involved in the SHSP update process and the development of the plans goals, priorities and strategies.	□ MEETS REQUIREMENT□ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT
the Highway Safety Plan, and the Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan.	✓ Other plans and programs will incorporate the goals, emphasis areas, and strategies of the SHSP as appropriate.	SUPPORTING INFORMATION:
	IMPLEMENTATION	
23 CFR 924.9 (a)(3)(xi) An SHSP update shall Describe the process and potential resources for implementing strategies in the emphasis areas.	✓ The SHSP references how the strategies will be implemented, such as through annual safety plans and programs (e.g., the HSP, CVSP, HSIP), regional	□ MEETS REQUIREMENT□ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT

A. SHSP REQUIREMENTS	B. INDICATORS THAT STATE MEETS REQUIREMENTS	C. REQUIREMENT STATUS
	safety coalitions, local agencies, etc. ✓ The SHSP references resources to help implement the SHSP; this can include the agency or champion that will implement the strategy and/or the funding source that may be considered for implementing the strategy.	SUPPORTING INFORMATION:
23 CFR 924.11 (c) The SHSP update shall include or be accompanied by actions that address how the SHSP emphasis area strategies will be implemented.	 ✓ The State developed action steps for the strategies in the SHSP, which are included as an appendix or in a supplemental document (such as an emphasis area action or implementation plan). ✓ The action steps or plans include the agency and/or person that will champion implementation of the action, the resources and timeframe for completion. 	 □ MEETS REQUIREMENT □ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT SUPPORTING INFORMATION:
	EVALUATION	
 23 CFR 924.13 (a)(2) The HSIP evaluation process shall include An evaluation of the SHSP as part of the regularly recurring update process to: Confirm the validity of the emphasis areas and strategies based on analysis of current safety data; and Identify issues related to the SHSP's process, implementation, and progress that should be considered during each subsequent SHSP update. 	 ✓ The State conducted a review of current data to determine the appropriate emphasis areas. The data analysis either confirmed the validity of the emphasis areas or they were modified based on the results. ✓ The State evaluated its progress in meeting SHSP goals and objectives (e.g., reductions in the number and rate of crashes, fatalities and serious injuries in the SHSP's emphasis areas). 	 □ MEETS REQUIREMENT □ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT SUPPORTING INFORMATION:
opadio.	✓ Strategies and actions were reviewed to determine their effectiveness, to help the State determine if it should modify strategies in the SHSP update. For example, project level evaluations can be consulted to see if it had the desired impact.	

A. SHSP REQUIREMENTS	B. INDICATORS THAT STATE MEETS REQUIREMENTS	C. REQUIREMENT STATUS
	✓ New research and data were considered in strategy selection.	
	During the development of the SHSP update, attention was given to what will be measured and how progress will be determined for the period of the current SHSP.	
	✓ The State has in place mechanisms for regularly tracking SHSP implementation and monitoring progress.	
	 An SHSP evaluation is planned as part of the State's next SHSP update. 	
	✓ The State's evaluation process is documented in the SHSP update.	
	SPECIAL RULES	
23 U.S.C. 148 (a)(1) HIGH RISK RURAL ROAD - The term 'high risk rural road' means any roadway functionally classified as a rural major or minor collector or a rural local road with significant safety risks, as defined by a State in accordance with an updated State strategic highway safety plan.	✓ The updated SHSP includes the State's definition of "High Risk Rural Road." This must be included even if the State is not subject to the special rule. GUIDANCE LINK	□ MEETS REQUIREMENT□ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENTSUPPORTING INFORMATION:
23 U.S.C. 148 (g)(2) OLDER DRIVERS AND PEDESTRIANS - If traffic fatalities and serious injuries per capita for drivers	✓ If the State meets the special rule, the SHSP update includes strategies to address the increases in older driver and pedestrian traffic fatalities and serious	☐ MEETS REQUIREMENT

A. SHSP REQUIREMENTS	B. INDICATORS THAT STATE MEETS REQUIREMENTS	C. REQUIREMENT STATUS
and pedestrians over the age of 65 in a State increases during the most recent 2-year period for which data are available, that State shall be required to include, in the subsequent Strategic Highway Safety Plan of the State, strategies to address the increases in those rates, taking into account the recommendations included in the publication of the Federal Highway Administration entitled 'Highway Design Handbook for Older Drivers and Pedestrians' (FHWA-RD-01-103) dated May 2001, or as subsequently revised.	injuries, if applicable. GUIDANCE LINK	DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT SUPPORTING INFORMATION:
	DESCRIPTION OF UPDATE PROCESS	
23 CFR 924.9 (a)(3)(iii) An SHSP update shall Provide a detailed description of the update process.	The updated SHSP includes a detailed description of the SHSP update process. The description includes a discussion of: ✓ Consultation ✓ Coordination ✓ Data-driven emphasis areas and strategies ✓ Performance-based approach ✓ Strategy selection ✓ Implementation and evaluation process	 □ MEETS REQUIREMENT □ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT SUPPORTING INFORMATION:
	ADMINISTRATIVE	
23 CFR 924.9 (a)(3)(i) An SHSP update shall Be completed no later than 5 years from the date of the previous approved version.	✓ The SHSP update was completed within 5 years from the date of the previous approved version.	□ MEETS REQUIREMENT□ DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENTSUPPORTING INFORMATION:
23 U.S.C. 148(a)(11)(G); 23 CFR 924.9 (a)(3)(iv) An SHSP update shall	✓ SHSP is signed by the Governor or a responsible State agency designated by the Governor.	☐ MEETS REQUIREMENT

A. SHSP REQUIREMENTS	B. INDICATORS THAT STATE MEETS REQUIREMENTS	C. REQUIREMENT STATUS
Be approved by the Governor of the State or a responsible State agency official that is delegated by the Governor.	 Signature could be in SHSP document (e.g., in cover letter) or in a transmittal letter. 	DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENT

The State's SHSP Update Process is: APPROVED Division Office Signature: DATE: FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS:	The State's SHSP update process is consistent with the requirements of section 148(a)(11) and 148(d) of title 23 United States Code, and with the HSIP implementing regulations at 23 CFR Part 924, and the State used such process to update the SHSP.			
	The State's SHSP Update Process is:	☐ APPROVED	DISAPPROVED	
FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS:	Division Office Signature:		DATE:	
	FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS:			