10.1 Purpose
Off-road facilities can provide low-stress environments for bicycling and walking that are separate from motor vehicle traffic. They can be great places for novice and child bicyclists to try out their riding skills prior to taking trips on urban streets. While they have many positive features, design of off-road trails must be done with the same care and attention to recognized guidelines as design of bike lanes on roadways. In addition, trails are often extremely popular facilities that are in high demand among rollerbladers, bicyclists, joggers, people walking dogs, and a variety of other users. The resulting mix and volume of non-motorized traffic can create dangerous conditions that should be anticipated during the design phase.

The discussion that follows addresses the types and design requirements of different trail users, and provides a brief overview of design issues and guidelines. More detail on multi-use trail design and engineering is provided in national guidelines set by AASHTO and the MUTCD.

10.2 Multi-Use Trails
Only in very few instances is a trail used exclusively by one type of user. People routinely walk on “bicycle paths” and mountain bicyclists have been known to use “equestrian trails.” In most cases, it must be assumed that trails will be shared by all types of users of all ages and abilities.

These different trail users have different objectives, which can result in conflict. Some use the trail to get to work. Others use it to walk the dog, jog, or stroll with their children. By understanding the needs of these users and designing trails to accommodate expected levels and types of use, you can build a trail system that plays an important role in the community or region’s transportation and recreation network for years to come.

Trail user conflicts are an issue when on wide trails like this coastal trail in Santa Barbara, CA.
10.3 Trail Design Information Resources

The following resources are recommended as sources of specific information on trail design and construction:


10.4 Trail Types

Among the many types of trails are the following:

- Urban trails and pathways.
- Rail-trails.
- Trails in greenways.
- Interpretive trails.
- Historic trails.
- Rural trails.
- Primitive trails.

All of these can be designed for use by pedestrians (including joggers, casual strollers, hikers, in-line skaters, and others), people with disabilities, bicyclists, and equestrians. What distinguishes one type of trail from another is, primarily, its context.
Consideration of trails in this course lesson will focus primarily on urban trails and pathways, including rail-trails and trails in greenways.

### 10.5 Rail-Trails

More than 10,000 miles and 1,000 trails are now in place nationwide, and well over 100,000 miles of future rail abandonments make this one of the most important programs. Abandoned rails provide:

- **Natural corridors, often to the heart of a city.**
- **Excellent access.**
- **Rail-banking possibilities (the rails, or at least transit, will come back).**
- **Bridges, tunnels, easy grades, and views.**
- **A link from the past to the future.**

#### Railway and Utility Companies as Trail Partners

Today, there are many active rail, utility, and other corridors where a bit of imagination and lots of negotiation can lead to successful shared corridors. Building partnerships has led to excellent trail links and full-length trails.

Issues in building partnerships include:

- **Seattle, Portland and many West Coast areas have shared corridors with rail lines.**
- **Utilities have often bought abandonments and most are likely partners.**
- **Resolution of issues related to legal protection, tort liability, and contracts to address partnership concerns about liability.**
- **Provision of physical separation between rail lines, canals, or utility facilities.**
- **If a utility or other partner’s use of the facility (e.g., for maintenance work) has potential conflict with trail use, provide an alternative route during times when trail use will be restricted.**

The Rails-to-Trails Conservancy (Tel: (202) 331-9696, Fax: (202) 331-9680) offers a wide variety of training and information resources related to trails within rail rights-of-way. Especially recommended is *Rails-With-Trails: Sharing Corridors for Recreation and Transportation*, by Michael Brillion and Julie A. Winterich, available through the Rails-to-Trails Conservancy.

### 10.6 Trail Design Issues

National guidelines for the design of multi-use trails are provided by AASHTO’s *Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities* (1991). Nearly one-third of the guide is devoted to trail design, and the requirements are quite detailed. The reader is cautioned that the following section of this manual is intended to provide further depth only on design issues that the AASHTO Guide does not fully cover. The AASHTO Guide should be used as a companion text to this chapter.

#### Location and Use

Multi-use trails are physically separated from motor vehicle traffic (except at crossings with
Bicycles should give an audible warning before passing other trail users.

Bicycle Paths Adjacent to Roadways
In the past, “bicycle sidepaths” (bikeways immediately adjacent to roadways) were developed with the concept of separating bicyclists from roadways in order to reduce opportunities for conflict. It is now widely accepted that bicycle paths immediately adjacent to roads actually cause greater conflicts.

These sidepaths create the following problems (excerpt from AASHTO’s Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities, 1991):

1. Unless paired (on both sides of the road), they require one direction of bicycle traffic to ride against motor vehicle traffic, contrary to normal rules of the road.
2. When the bicycle path ends, bicyclists going against traffic will tend to continue to travel on the wrong side of the street. Likewise, bicyclists approaching a bicycle path often travel on the wrong side of the street in getting to the path. Wrong-way travel by bicyclists is a major cause of bicycle/automobile accidents and should be discouraged at every opportunity.
3. At intersections, motorists entering or crossing the roadway often will not notice bicyclists coming from their right, as they are not expecting contra-flow vehicles. Even bicyclists coming from the left often go unnoticed, especially when sight distances are poor.
4. When constructed in narrow roadway rights-of-way, the shoulder is often sacrificed, thereby decreasing safety for motorists and bicyclists using the roadway.
5. Many bicyclists will use the roadway instead of the bicycle path because they
have found the roadway to be safer, more convenient, or better maintained. Bicyclists using the roadway are often subjected to harassment by motorists who feel that, in all cases, bicyclists should be on the path instead.

6. Bicyclists using the bicycle path generally are required to stop or yield at all cross-streets and driveways, while bicyclists using the roadway usually have priority over cross-traffic, because they have the same right-of-way as motorists.

7. Stopped cross-street motor vehicle traffic or vehicles exiting side streets or driveways may block the path crossing.

8. Because of the closeness of motor vehicle traffic to opposing bicycle traffic, barriers are often necessary to keep motor vehicles out of bicycle paths and bicyclists out of traffic lanes. These barriers can represent an obstruction to bicyclists and motorists, can complicate maintenance of the facility, and can cause other problems as well.

For these reasons, the AASHTO Guide further states that there should always be a minimum of 1.5 meters (5 feet) between the trail and the roadway.

**Trail/Roadway Intersection Design**

Trail/roadway intersections can become areas of conflict if not carefully designed. For at-grade intersections, there are usually several objectives:

1. Site the crossing area at a logical and visible location. When at all possible, trails should be designed to meet roadways at existing intersections. If alternate locations for a bicycle path are available, the one with the most favorable intersection conditions should be selected. Mid-block crossings should not be sited in close proximity to major intersections with other highways.

2. Warn motorists of the upcoming crossing. Warning signs and pavement markings that alert motorists of the upcoming trail crossing should be used in accordance with the MUTCD.

3. Maintain visibility between trail users and motorists. Vegetation, highway signs, and other objects in the right-of-way should be removed or relocated so that trail users can observe traffic conditions and motorists can see approaching trail users. Every effort should be made to locate mid-block crossings on straight sections of roadway, rather than near curves where sight distance is limited.

![Alternative approach to trail/roadway intersections. Source: NCDOT](image)

This trail provides sufficient warning for both motorists and bicyclists of the approaching mid-block crossing. There is also a push-button signal to ensure that they can cross safely.
4. Inform trail users of the upcoming intersection. Signs and pavement markings on the trail can provide advance warning of upcoming intersections, especially in areas where the intersection is not clearly visible 75 meters (250 ft) in advance.

Intersections and approaches should be on relatively flat grades. In particular, the bicyclist should not be required to stop at the bottom of a hill. Additional guidance on trail/roadway intersections is provided by AASHTO’s Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities.

The need for parking should be anticipated during the master planning process for the trail system. Adequate parking at trailheads is necessary so that trail users do not park on the shoulder of the road near intersections, blocking the sightlines of both motorists and trail users.

For high-speed multi-lane arterials and freeways, the only viable solution may be a grade-separated crossing. Overpasses can be extremely expensive and marginally successful if users are expected to climb long entrance ramps. Underpasses should be of adequate width and should be well lit with vandalism-resistant fixtures. Approach ramps for grade-separated crossings must meet ADA or ANSI standards.

**Restricting Motor Vehicle Access**

Unauthorized motor vehicle access is an issue at some trail/roadway intersections. Trail bollards are the most effective method of limiting unwanted motor vehicles. However, much care should be taken in their use because they present an obstacle when located in the travel path of bicycles and pedestrians. Centerline pavement striping should be used to increase the visibility of bollards located in the center of the trail, as shown in the detail on this page.

Bollards should be painted a bright color and permanently reflectorized to maintain their visibility. Bollards should be sited 9 meters (30 feet) in advance of the intersection, so that cyclists can fully concentrate on maneuvering through the bollards and still have time to prepare for the upcoming intersection.

Bollards should be 0.9 meter (3 feet) tall, and can be constructed of a variety of materials. Several commercial manufacturers offer bollards that can be unlocked and removed to allow emergency vehicle or maintenance access.

**Pavement Design**

Typical pavement design for off-road multi-use trails should be based on the specific loading and soil conditions for each project. Trails designed to serve bicycle transportation purposes should be composed of a hard surface, such as asphalt or concrete, and should be designed to withstand the loading requirements of occasional maintenance and emergency vehicles.
In some circumstances, given an extremely stable trail bed (such as a rail-trail) and excellent drainage conditions, a soft-surface trail may be acceptable. Careful consideration should be given to the amount of traffic the specific trail will generate, as these surfaces tend to deteriorate with heavy use. These trails must also meet the standards set by AASHTO’s *Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities* (1999).

One important concern for asphalt multi-use trails is the deterioration of trail edges. Installation of a geotextile fabric beneath a layer of aggregate base course can help to maintain the edge of the trail. It is also important to provide a 0.6 meters (2 feet) wide graded shoulder to prevent trail edges from crumbling.

### 10.7 References

Text and graphics for this lesson were derived from the following sources:


Also see Section 10.3 of this lesson for a listing of trail design publications.