Human Factors Issues in Intersection Safety

Research indicates that driver error may be involved in 90 percent of all crashes. While advances in automotive safety and highway design continue to improve, the one component that engineers and designers have very little control over is the driver. Understanding how drivers and all roadway users interact within an intersection environment is fundamental to improving roadway safety and saving lives.

The Driving Task

The overall driving task consists of many smaller tasks that a driver must perform at the same time. The three major subtasks include control, guidance, and navigation, as shown in Figure 1. Control relates to the physical operation of a vehicle. Guidance refers to interacting with other vehicles, for example following, passing, merging, and other actions such as following traffic control devices. Navigation refers to the driver choosing a route from origin to destination by reading guide signs and using landmarks. When a driver is overloaded with information, the driver actively sheds the information load by ignoring the navigational level in order to maintain the physical control of the vehicle and keep from colliding with another vehicle or other hazard when negotiating an intersection.

Driver Attention and Decision Making

Negotiating intersections is one of the most complex and demanding tasks a driver faces. To successfully execute a vehicle maneuver through an intersection, the driver must receive and recognize available information, make a decision, and execute the desired action. One limitation is that humans are serial processors, and the cognitive task load at intersections can be quite large. Common items a driver must consider when approaching an intersection include the following:

- Being aware that an intersection is ahead.
- Monitoring and adjusting speed.
- Maintaining lane position.
- Being aware of other vehicles and objects in the environment, including the travel path.
- Being aware of other users, such as pedestrians and bicyclists in the intersection.
- Monitoring other traffic movements particularly in conflicting movements.
- Attending to signals or signs.
- Assessing adequacy of gaps for turning movements.
- Rapidly making a stop/go decision in the dilemma zone.
- Slowing for a turn and decelerating for a stop.
- Maintaining proper lane position.
- Selecting the proper lane.

Given the short time drivers have to process a large amount of information, it is imperative that designers and engineers provide clear and accurate information to drivers to
help them navigate an intersection. The ability for a driver to successfully accomplish that task is greatly impacted by their expectancies. When expectancies are reinforced, drivers respond quickly and correctly and in predictable ways. However, if they encounter unfamiliar situations when approaching an intersection (e.g., missing or obscured traffic control devices, poor sight distance, unfamiliar lane assignments, lack of advance notice of the intersection, unusual intersection alignment), their responses may take longer to initiate and errors may result. Thus, it is essential to design consistency into intersections to minimize the likelihood of errors.

Vision is the most important information reception characteristic of drivers. Features of human vision are tied to specific roadway design elements as illustrated in Table 1.

**Driver Error**

Perceptual failures account for a large portion of driver errors. These can include such items as “looked but did not see,” visual obstructions and clutter, reduced visibility due to environmental factors, poor judgment of speed and/or distance, and low conspicuity of target. Also, drivers are estimated to engage in potentially distracting secondary tasks nearly 30 percent of the time their vehicles are moving. These distractions (e.g., conversation with passengers, eating, cell phone use, texting, and so forth), increase the likelihood of driver error. According to a 2006 study by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration: “Almost 80 percent of all crashes and 65 percent of all near-crashes involved the driver looking away from the forward roadway just prior to the onset of the conflict.” Prior estimates related to ‘distraction’ as a contributing factor have been in the range of 25 percent. Misinterpretation of information and driver impairment are also major contributing factors to driver error. Additionally, intersections themselves present their own unique set of driver errors, depending on the type of intersection at hand.

**Signalized Intersections**

Common driver errors include the following:

- Failing to detect a signalized intersection and signal indications.
- Failing to detect proper lane assignment.
- Not understanding whether to proceed or stop at the onset of a yellow indication (this is known as the dilemma zone).
- Underestimating the time to reach an intersection.
- Underestimating the time to make a smooth stop.
- Failing to discern conflict boundaries (stop bar and beyond).
- Accepting an unsafe gap distance when making an unprotected left turn.
- Misinterpreting guide sign information.

**Unsignalized Intersections**

Common driver errors include the following:

- Failing to detect the intersection.
- Accepting an unsafe gap distance.
- Inaccurately estimating approaching vehicles’ speed, also known as closure rates.
- Underestimating the time to accelerate to clear opposing traffic.
- Running a STOP sign.
- Failing to yield the right of way.

**Roundabouts**

Common driver errors include the following:

- Failing to control speed on approach.
- Failing to stop behind a queued vehicle at a roundabout approach.
- Accepting an unsafe gap distance.
- Failing to detect proper lane assignment for desired exit.
- Failing to yield the right of way to vehicles in the roundabout.
- Failing to yield to pedestrians and bicyclists legally in the roundabout.
Design Considerations

Design policy implicitly incorporates principles of human factors. The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Green Book design criteria assume that the "design driver" is alert and in control of physical and mental abilities, has a reasonable ability to see and perceive the roadway environment, and has reasonable motor skills to enable steering, braking, and other operations. Table 2 summarizes key human factor considerations and their relationship to design elements.

Engineering Solutions

Humans are not perfect when making decisions, and some errors in judgment are inevitable. However, steps can be taken to help reduce the likelihood that driver errors will take place. Therefore, intersection design and features are important and should take the limitations of human performance into account.

Drivers perform best under moderate levels of driver workload, while they make more errors under low- or high-workload environments. To achieve moderate driver workload conditions, apply the two guiding principles of intersection design and operation: Clarify and simplify.

Clarify means that the approaches to intersections are readily visible to the driver as they relate to configuration, lanes, and type of traffic control. Simplify means that the driver workload is at a medium level and never at a high level. This is accomplished by separating the actions of the driver approaching an intersection as follows and as illustrated in Figure 4:

- "Alert" the driver of the intersection ahead.
- Inform the driver of the routes and their turns at the intersection ahead.
- Inform the driver of the lane assignments ahead consistent with the route turns.
- Inform the driver of guide sign information for destinations (white on green guide signing).

- Ensure that only the STOP/YIELD action or signal indication decision is to be made by the driver in the last several hundred feet approaching the stop bar.

A significant proportion of intersection crashes involve left turns. Older drivers in particular run the greatest risk of being involved in a left-turn crash, due in part to their diminished ability to judge closure rates of oncoming vehicles. Using alternative intersection designs for left-turn lanes can help alleviate this problem. For example, a positive offset design can help improve visibility of oncoming vehicles. Adding protected left-turn phases can also assist drivers in turning movements.

Other elements of the intersection can be added or modified to improve driver performance and reduce the likelihood of errors. Some include the following:

- Using advanced guide signs, possibly with flashers, placed in conspicuous locations.
- Using large pavement markings and scribing path markings for multiple turn lanes.

Table 1: Human Vision Characteristics as Related to Roadway Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual Characteristic</th>
<th>Related Roadway Element(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual Acuity. Ability to see small details clearly.</td>
<td>Sign size; reading distant traffic signs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast Sensitivity. Seeing objects that are similar in brightness to their background.</td>
<td>Pavement markings and delineation; detection of dark clothed pedestrians at night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color Vision. Discrimination of different colors.</td>
<td>Sign, signal, and pavement marking design and retroreflectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Field/Peripheral Vision</td>
<td>Sign placement, signal placement; seeing a bicycle approaching from the left; seeing pedestrians at the intersection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan Patterns</td>
<td>Sign and signal placement, delineation treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion Judgment/Angular Movement. Seeing objects moving across the field of view.</td>
<td>School zones and other crosswalks, highway railroad crossings; Judging the speed of cars crossing our path of travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement in Depth. Detecting changes in visual image size.</td>
<td>Judging the speed of an approaching vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Illusions</td>
<td>Guide signs, pavement markings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth Perception. Judgment of the distance of objects.</td>
<td>Passing on two-lane roads with oncoming traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye Movement. Changing the direction of gaze.</td>
<td>Scanning the road environment for hazards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glare Sensitivity. Ability to resist and recover from the effects of glare.</td>
<td>Reduction in visual performance due to headlight glare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Improving signal visibility by using larger 12-in. LED signals or beacons, signal back plates, or dual-red indications.
- Reducing the size of the dilemma zone with appropriate advanced detection.
- Ensuring that the intersection is free of visual obstructions, including parked vehicles, trees, and other roadside furniture so drivers have better views of vehicles operating on the side streets.
- Placing signal indications centered for each through lane to optimize the visibility of the signal indication.
- Ensuring lighting along the approaches and at the intersection is adequate.
- Avoiding permissive right-turn-on-red when intersection skew angle is less than 75 degrees.
- Adding protected-only left-turn phasing if appropriate.
- Enhancing pedestrian crossings through the use of high-visibility markings, beacons, and/or refuge islands.
- Exploring treatments to reduce speeds on the approaches of intersections.

**Training**

National Highway Institute Course: Human Factors for Transportation Engineers. For further information, contact FHWA, Office of Safety, at 202-366-2288.

This one-day workshop includes interactive modules on information reception, decision-making, driver responses and human factors principles. Upon completion of the course, participants will be able to perform the following:

- Recognize that human factors have a role in highway design, operations and safety decisions.
- Describe human factors information that is included in today’s guidelines and standards.
- Identify human capabilities needed for using roadways.
- Apply basic human factors principles to resolve issues related to highway design, operations, and safety.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Factor</th>
<th>Design Value</th>
<th>Design Element Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perception-reaction time</td>
<td>1.0–2.5 sec.</td>
<td>Stopping Sight Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceleration rate</td>
<td>11.2 ft./sec.²</td>
<td>Stopping Sight Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-maneuver. Distance for driver to detect an unexpected condition.</td>
<td>3.0–9.1 sec.</td>
<td>Decision Sight Distances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap acceptance. Turning left or right from stop. Crossing from stop</td>
<td>7.5 sec. (left turn) 6.5 sec. (right turn)</td>
<td>Minimum Stopping Sight Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver height of eye</td>
<td>1,080 mm</td>
<td>Stopping Sight Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian walk times</td>
<td>3.0–4.5 ft./sec.</td>
<td>Pedestrian Facilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Table 2: Human Factors and Their Relationship to Roadway Design Elements

Figure 4. Intersection Layout Illustrating Concepts of Clarify and Simplify

* See Table 2C-4 for distance
** See Section 2C-29 for application of W3-1
*** See Section 2B-20 for Intersection Lane Control signs

NOTE: See Part 3 for information on pavement markings
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Introduction to Human Factors (Web seminar)

Please contact the Professional Development Division at 202-289-0222 for further information.

A summary of the course follows:

Provides an introduction to the field of human factors as it relates to human behavior, roadway design, and safety, as well as human characteristics as they relate to the driving task. The Web seminar will review demographic and environmental influences on driver behavior.

Resources


The 100-Car Naturalistic Driving Study: Phase II – Results of the 100-Car Field Experiment, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Report No. DOT-HS-810-593.

Figure 5: Using pedestrian refuge islands when possible to provide a common and consistent location for drivers to look for pedestrians