



February 14, 2018

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1/B-298

Mr. Russell Hood Safe Barriers Pte. Ltd. PO Box 148 Novena Post Office Singapore 913017

Dear Mr. Hood:

This letter is in response to your October 9, 2017 request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety device, hardware, or system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. This FHWA letter of eligibility is assigned FHWA control number B-298 and is valid until a subsequent letter is issued by FHWA that expressly references this device.

Decision

The following device is eligible within the length-of-need, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

Defender Barrier 100 LDS

Scope of this Letter

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

Eligibility for Reimbursement

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO's MASH. Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions.

Name of system: Defender Barrier 100 LDS

Type of system: Longitudinal Barrier Test Level: MASH Test Level 3 (TL3) Testing conducted by: Holmes Solutions

Date of request: October 8, 2017

Date initially acknowledged: October 15, 2017

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory on the attached form. Though the laboratory conducted Test 3-21 Transition test for an attachment between test article and an attenuator and included this test in this submission, this eligibility letter is for barrier length of need only.

Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

Notice

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO's MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the

system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

Standard Provisions

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA
 control number B-298 shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test
 documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and
 documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- If the subject device is a patented product it may be considered to be proprietary. If proprietary systems are specified by a highway agency for use on Federal-aid projects:
 (a) they must be supplied through competitive bidding with equally suitable unpatented items; (b) the highway agency must certify that they are essential for synchronization with the existing highway facilities or that no equally suitable alternative exists; or (c) they must be used for research or for a distinctive type of construction on relatively short sections of road for experimental purposes. Our regulations concerning proprietary products are contained in Title 23, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 635.411.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Griffith

Director, Office of Safety Technologies

Michael S. Fiffell

Office of Safety

Enclosures

Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

	Date of Request:	October 4, 2017	New	
	Name:	Russell Hood		
ter	Company:	Safe Barriers Pte. Ltd.		
Submitter	Address:	PO Box 148, Novena Post Office, Sing	apore 913017	
Suk	Country:	Singapore		
	To:	Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies		

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

Device & Testing Criterion - Enter from right to left starting with Test Level

				ī
	_	Ŧ	_	1

System Type	Submission Type	Device Name / Variant	Testing Criterion	Test Level
'B': Rigid/Semi-Rigid Barriers (Roadside, Median, Bridge Railings)	Physical Crash TestingEngineering Analysis	Defender Barrier 100LDS	AASHTO MASH	TL3

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name:	Russell Hood	Same as Submitter 🔀
Company Name:	Safe Barriers Pte. Ltd.	Same as Submitter 🔀
Address:	PO Box 148, Novena Post Office, Singapore 913017	Same as Submitter 🔀
Country:	Singapore	Same as Submitter 🖂

Enter below all disclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Federal-Aid Reimbursement Eligibility Process for Safety Hardware Devices' document.

Holmes Solutions performs crash testing activities for Safe Barriers Pte. Ltd. For the completion of these services, Holmes Solutions receive payment in the form of professional fees. In no circumstance are these fees received linked to the performance of the product not the outcome of the tests. In accordance with the requirements of Holmes Solutions ISO 17025 accreditation, all testing activities are completed free from undue commercial influence.

Holmes Solutions does not have, nor ever had, any financial interest in Safe Barriers or any of the products that they sell. Holmes Solutions does not receive and research funding or other forms of payment from Safe Barriers. Holmes Solutions have no business ownership or investment interest in Safe Barriers. No licensing agreements exist between Holmes Solutions and Safe Barriers.

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

New Hardware or Significant Modification	Modification to Existing Hardware		
	Low Deflection System) is an anchored n integrated Test Level 3 transition con		eel
wall thickness. Each barrier mea have a dry weight of 303kg (668 steel galvanized connecting pin	segments are manufactured from Q235 isures 3960mm (155.9") long x 800mm (.1lbs). Each of the steel barriers connect at each end. The installed length of ea rrier are approximately 1350mm (53.15	31.4") high x 680mm (26.7" together via interlocking f h barrier segment is 3900n) wide and langes and a
steel and measure 30mm (1.18") 9.5mm (0.37") thick plate welded	nnecting pins connecting the barrier se diameter x 550mm (21.6") long. The to d 5mm down from the top end of the b barrier segment forming a hinge type j	o of the pin has a 50mm (2 r. Pins are fitted vertically	") wide x
steel and measure 30mm (1.18") 20mm (0.78") thick plate welded through purpose made holes for	nd anchor pins that anchor the barrier so diameter x 500mm (19.68"). The top o I 5mm down from the top end of the ba r the ground anchor pins, which also fu The Defender Barrier™ 100 LDS is ancho ch side of the barrier.	the pin has a 60mm (2.36", r. Pins are fitted vertically oction as drain holes. The g) wide x down jround
	CRASH TESTING		
all of the critical and relevant cra	r affiliated with the testing laboratory, a sh tests for this device listed above wer nined that no other crash tests are nece	conducted to meet the M	ASH test
Engineer Name:	Emerson Ryder		
Engineer Signature:	Emerson Ryder	Digitally signed by Emer Date: 2018.02.09 15:49:3	,
Address:	level 2, 254 Montreal Street, Christchui	h Same as Sul	omitter 🗌
Country:	New Zealand	Same as Sul	omitter 🗍

A brief description of each crash test and its result:

		Page 3 of 5
Required Test Number	Narrative Description	Evaluation Results
	Holmes Crash Test No. 131393.3-10LDS was performed on 19 July 2017. The Defender Barrier™ 100 LDS successfully contained and redirected the 1100c test vehicle impacting the test article at 24.9 degrees and a velocity of 99.3 km/h (61.7 mph). Maximum dynamic deflection was 0.56m (22").	
	The test vehicle impacted the test installation 1.4m downstream from ground anchor pin 9 at barrier 8, and 7.7m upstream from ground anchor pin 11 at barrier 10. The CIP was chosen to maximize the OIV and vehicle damage.	
3-10 (1100C)	The test article was anchored with 2 ground anchor pins (1 each side) at barrier 8 and barrier 10, with a distance of 9.15m between anchors.	PASS
	The test article was anchored to 150mm (6") asphalt over 150mm (6") compacted subbase.	
	No debris or detached elements penetrated or showed potential to penetrate the occupant compartment. No fragments were distributed outside of the vehicle trajectory. The trajectory of the vehicle was such that it did not present any undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians or work zone personnel.	
	The vehicle remained upright during and after the impact and vehicle stability was considered satisfactory. Occupant risk factors satisfied the test criteria and the vehicle trajectory remained within acceptable limits.	

		rage 4 of 5
Required Test Number	Narrative Description	Evaluation Results
	Holmes Crash Test No. 131393.3-11LDS was performed on 28 February 2017. The Defender Barrier™ 100 LDS successfully contained and redirected the 2270P test vehicle impacting the test article at 24.9 degrees and a velocity of 101.3 km/h (62.9 mph). Maximum dynamic deflection was 0.88m (34.6").	
	The test vehicle impacted the test installation 2.3m downstream from ground anchor pin 9 at barrier 8, and 6.8m upstream from ground anchor pin 11 at barrier 10. The CIP was chosen to test the containment and vehicle stability while also imparting the most amount of load on the connection detail.	
3-11 (2270P)	The test article was anchored with 2 ground anchor pins (1 each side) at barrier 8 and barrier 10, with a distance of 9.15m between anchors.	PASS
	The test article was anchored to 150mm (6") asphalt over 150mm (6") compacted subbase.	
	No debris or detached elements penetrated or showed potential to penetrate the occupant compartment. No fragments were distributed outside of the vehicle trajectory. The trajectory of the vehicle was such that it did not present any undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians or work zone personnel.	
	The vehicle remained upright during and after the impact and vehicle stability was considered satisfactory. Occupant risk factors satisfied the test criteria and the vehicle trajectory remained within acceptable limits.	
3-20 (1100C)		Non-Relevant Test, not conducted
3-21 (2270P)		Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Laboratory Name:	Holmes Solutions		
Laboratory Signature:	Emerson Ryder		ed by Emerson Ryder 2.09 15:50:22 +13'00'
Address:	level 2, 254 Montreal Street, Christchu	rch	Same as Submitter
Country:	New Zealand		Same as Submitter
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period :	7559 1022 23 July 2009 to present day NZS ISO/IEC 17025:2005		

Submitter Signature*: Russell Hood Date: 2018.02.09 11:06:11 +08'00

	Suk	mit	Fo	rm	
--	-----	-----	----	----	--

ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligibil	lity Letter		
Number Date		Key Words	







