Mr. John Annison  
Valmont Highway International Pty Ltd  
57 – 65 Airds Road,  
Minto, NSW, 2566  
Australia

Dear Mr. Annison:

This letter is in response to your September 10, 2020 request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety device, hardware, or system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. This FHWA letter of eligibility is assigned FHWA control number CC-165 and is valid until a subsequent letter is issued by FHWA that expressly references this device.

**Decision**

The following device is eligible within the length-of-need, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

- ArmorZone MASH TL2 End Treatment

**Scope of this Letter**

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials’ (AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.
Eligibility for Reimbursement

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO’s MASH. Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions.

- Name of system: ArmorZone MASH TL2 End Treatment
  Type of system: Crash Cushion
  Test Level: Test Level 2
  Testing conducted by: Karco Engineering
  Date of request: September 10, 2020

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory on the attached form.

Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

Notice

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO’s MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.
Standard Provisions

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA control number CC-165 shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed upon request.

- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.

- This FHWA eligibility letter is not an expression of any Agency view, position, or determination of validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device or design. Further, this letter does not impute any distribution or licensing rights to the requester. This FHWA eligibility letter determination is made based solely on the crash-testing information submitted by the requester. The FHWA reserves the right to review and revoke an earlier eligibility determination after receipt of subsequent information related to crash testing.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Griffith
Director, Office of Safety Technologies
Office of Safety

Enclosures
Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Type</th>
<th>Submission Type</th>
<th>Device Name / Variant</th>
<th>Testing Criterion</th>
<th>Test Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'CC': Crash Cushions, Attenuators, &amp; Terminals</td>
<td>Physical Crash Testing</td>
<td>ArmorZone MASH TL2</td>
<td>AASHTO MASH</td>
<td>TL2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End Treatment</td>
<td>Engineering Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name:</th>
<th>Company Name:</th>
<th>Address:</th>
<th>Country:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Annison</td>
<td>Valmont Highway International Pty Ltd</td>
<td>57 – 65 Airds Road, Minto, NSW, 2566</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enter below all disclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA 'Federal-Aid Reimbursement Eligibility Process for Safety Hardware Devices' document.

Valmont Highway International Pty Ltd and Karco LLC share no financial interests between the two organisations. This includes no shared financial interest but not limited to:
1. Compensation including wages, salaries, commissions, professional fees, or fees for business referrals.
2. Research funding or other forms of research support.
3. Patents, copyrights, licenses, and other intellectual property interests.
4. Business ownership and investment interests.
PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

The ArmorZone MASH TL2 End Treatment is a gating, non-redirective End Treatment designed to protect the end of ArmorZone MASH TL2 temporary longitudinal water filled safety barriers from errant vehicles. The ArmorZone MASH TL2 End Treatment is free standing and does not require anchoring to the road surface. It can be installed on concrete road surfaces (as tested) and also asphalt, gravel and dirt road surfaces. The ArmorZone MASH TL2 End Treatment comprises of one yellow HDPE ArmorZone module which has had holes cut into its sides to prevent filling with water, and it also has had slots cut into the barrier sides and connector to initiate crumpling when impacted. The steel connector bar (which is present in the ArmorZone MASH TL2 longitude barriers) has been removed. The dimensions of the ArmorZone MASH TL2 End Treatment are the same as the ArmorZone MASH TL2 longitudinal barrier sections. Width 17.7 inches (450mm), Length 85 inches (2,160mm) Height 33.9 inches (860mm) and a weight of 56kg.

The connection between the ArmorZone MASH TL2 End Treatment and the ArmorZone MASH TL2 temporary water filled longitudinal barrier is the same as what is used to connect each section of the ArmorZone MASH TL2 longitudinal barrier. It comprises of three HDPE lugs protruding from the end of the yellow module that interlinks with the three protruding lugs on the last barrier in the run. A steel twin pin connector is then inserted down through holes in each of the nested lugs, thus locking the ArmorZone MASH TL2 End Treatment to the last ArmorZone barrier in the run.

For the TL2 tests conducted, the ArmorZone MASH TL2 end treatment was connected to a minimum of 21 units of the Armorzone MASH TL2 barrier for a total length of 154.0 ft. (48.4 m). All barrier units were filled with water to capacity via the fill hole at the top of each barrier. Each barrier weighed 124.0 lbs (56.2 kg) when empty and 1,030 lbs (467.2 kg) when filled to capacity. All units had a drain plug.

The ArmorZone MASH TL2 End Treatment was tested to and passed MASH TL2 2-40, 2-41, 2-42, 2-43 and 2-44.

CRASH TESTING

By signature below, the Engineer affiliated with the testing laboratory, agrees in support of this submission that all of the critical and relevant crash tests for this device listed above were conducted to meet the MASH test criteria. The Engineer has determined that no other crash tests are necessary to determine the device meets the MASH criteria.

Engineer Name: Steven Matsusaka
Engineer Signature: Steven Matsusaka
Address: 9270 Holly Rd, Adelanto, CA 92301
Country: United States of America

A brief description of each crash test and its result:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Test Number</th>
<th>Narrative Description</th>
<th>Evaluation Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-30 (1100C)</td>
<td>Test for redirective crash cushions, not applicable to non-redirective crash cushions.</td>
<td>Non- Relevant Test, not conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-31 (2270P)</td>
<td>Test for redirective crash cushions, not applicable to non-redirective crash cushions.</td>
<td>Non- Relevant Test, not conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-32 (1100C)</td>
<td>Test for redirective crash cushions, not applicable to non-redirective crash cushions.</td>
<td>Non- Relevant Test, not conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required Test Number</td>
<td>Narrative Description</td>
<td>Evaluation Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-33 (2270P)</td>
<td>Test for redirective crash cushions, not applicable to non-redirective crash cushions.</td>
<td>Non-Relevant Test, not conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-34 (1100C)</td>
<td>Test for redirective crash cushions, not applicable to non-redirective crash cushions.</td>
<td>Non-Relevant Test, not conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-35 (2270P)</td>
<td>Test for redirective crash cushions, not applicable to non-redirective crash cushions.</td>
<td>Non-Relevant Test, not conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-36 (2270P)</td>
<td>Test for redirective crash cushions, not applicable to non-redirective crash cushions.</td>
<td>Non-Relevant Test, not conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-37 (2270P)</td>
<td>Test for redirective crash cushions, not applicable to non-redirective crash cushions.</td>
<td>Non-Relevant Test, not conducted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-38 (1500A)</td>
<td>Test for redirective crash cushions, not applicable to non-redirective crash cushions.</td>
<td>Non-Relevant Test, not conducted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The test article was aligned at a nominal angle of 0 degrees, with the crash cushion offset one quarter the vehicle’s overall width. The test was conducted using a commercially available 2009 Kia Rio 4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of 2,412.9 lbs. (1,094.5 kg). The test was conducted with the crash cushion and the longitudinal barrier resting on a concrete surface. Upon vehicle engagement with the end treatment, the end treatment began to crush. The end treatment was completely crushed by approximately 0.144 s, at which point the vehicle velocity was 27.1 mph (43.6 km/h). As the end treatment was crushing the entire Armorzone MASH TL2 longitudinal barrier was shifting rearward and the first unit immediately behind the end treatment began to deform. The barriers started to shift at approximately 0.016 s and the first unit began to lift slightly off the ground and deform at about 0.060 s. The vehicle's yaw rotation rate increased after the end treatment was crushed due to the interaction with the heavier reinforced barrier unit. The first unit immediately behind the end treatment deformed towards the driver side as the vehicle yawed in the opposite direction. The vehicle lost contact with the unit at 0.492 s after which the vehicle continued its yaw rotation and the installation continued to extend towards the left (driver) side until it reached a maximum dynamic deflection and working width of 13.6 ft. (4.1 m) and 15.0 ft. (4.6 m), respectively. The vehicle came to a stop 31.6 ft. (9.6 m) right and 13.1 ft. (4.0 m) downstream from the initial point of contact.

The end treatment's performance was deemed as acceptable to the MASH standard based on the 2-40 test conducted. The test device was penetrated in a controlled fashion and without excessive deceleration before coming to a controlled stop. The vehicle was not penetrated and there was not potential for article penetration into the occupant compartment. It is therefore recommended that the performance of the Armorzone MASH TL2 End Treatment be considered as satisfactory to test level 2 test 40.
The test article was aligned at a nominal angle of 0 degrees, with the centreline of the vehicle aligned with the longitudinal centreline of the end treatment. The test was conducted using a commercially available 2013 RAM 1500 4-door pickup truck with a test inertial mass of 4,980.2 lbs. (2,259.0 kg).

The test vehicle impacted the system at a velocity of 43.98 mph (70.78 km/h) and an impact angle of 0.3°. The vehicle initial point of contact with the system was 1.0 in. (25 mm) from the intended impact point. The vehicle's front bumper began to deform upon impact. The vehicle crushed the first unit and reached the second unit at approximately 0.120 s. The second unit began to lose its water at approximately 0.171 s. As the vehicle's front end approached the second unit's midpoint the front end began to pitch upward. The third unit began to lose its water at approximately 0.220 s and the system began to deflect to the right side. The vehicle continued forward and reached a maximum pitch angle of 12.5°. The first unit was underneath the vehicle when the front end returned to the ground. The vehicle came to rest 25.2 ft. (7.7 m) downstream and 8.0 ft. (2.4 m) left from its initial point of contact with the system.

The Armorzone MASH TL2 End Treatment's performance was deemed as acceptable to the MASH standard based on the 2-41 test conducted. The test device was penetrated in a controlled fashion and without excessive deceleration before coming to a controlled stop. The vehicle was not penetrated and there was not potential for article penetration into the occupant compartment. It is therefore recommended that the performance of the Armorzone MASH TL2 End Treatment be considered as satisfactory to test level 2 test 41.
The test article was aligned at a nominal angle of 5 degrees, with the centreline of the vehicle aligned with the nose of the crash cushion. The test was conducted using a commercially available 2008 Kia Rio 4-door sedan with a test inertial mass of 2,410.7 lbs. (1,093.5 kg).

The vehicle impacted the end treatment at an angle of 4.8° and a speed of 44.14 mph (71.04 km/h). Upon impact the empty module began to crush. The end treatment was completely crushed by approximately 0.126 s, at which point the vehicle’s velocity was reduced to 29.43 mph (47.36 km/h). The vehicle remained relatively in its initial trajectory until the end treatment bottomed out and the first unit of the Armorzone began to deflect at about 0.140 s. The front of unit 1 was folded towards the field side at 0.275 s, which guided the vehicle towards the field side as it remained in contact with the end treatment. The vehicle continued forward and separated from the installation at 1.650 s. The vehicle came to a stop 25.9 ft. (7.9 m) right and 43.6 ft. (13.3 m) downstream from the initial point of contact.

The Armorzone MASH TL2 End Treatment’s performance was deemed as acceptable to the MASH standard based on the 2-42 test conducted. The test device was penetrated in a controlled fashion and without excessive deceleration before coming to a controlled stop. The vehicle was not penetrated and there was not potential for article penetration into the occupant compartment. It is therefore recommended that the end treatment be considered as satisfactory to test level 2 test 42.

| 2-42 (1100C) | PASS |
The test article was aligned at a nominal angle of 5 degrees, with the centreline of the vehicle aligned with the nose of the crash cushion. The test was conducted using a commercially available 2012 Ram 1500 pickup truck with a test inertial mass of 4,992.3 lbs. (2,264.5 kg).

The vehicle impacted the end treatment at an angle of 5.4° and a speed of 44.59 mph (71.76 km/h). Upon impact the empty unit began to crush. The end treatment was completely crushed by approximately 0.128 s, at which point the vehicle's velocity was reduced to 34.87 mph (56.12 km/h). As the end treatment was crushing the Armorzone barriers began to shift rearward. After the end treatment was completely crushed, unit 1 of the Armorzone barrier began to deform. Unit 1 was significantly deformed and released its water before the subsequent unit (Unit 2) began to deform at 0.202 s. Units 1 and 2 began their deformation in a similar manner as a crease was created at the top of both upstream ends of the units, which caused the upstream end to bend upwards. This motion caused the vehicle to pitch nose up as it continued forward, which prevented any other Armorzone units from crushing. The vehicle reached a maximum pitch and roll angle of 34.7° (at 0.952 s) and 10.7° (at 0.563 s), respectively, before coming to a stop. The vehicle came to a stop 2.2 ft. (0.7 m) right and 2.6 ft. (0.8 m) downstream from the initial point of contact.

The Armorzone MASH TL2 End Treatment’s performance was deemed as acceptable to the MASH standard based on the 2-43 test conducted. The test device was penetrated in a controlled fashion and without excessive deceleration before coming to a controlled stop. The vehicle was not penetrated and there was not potential for article penetration into the occupant compartment. It is therefore recommended that the end treatment be considered as satisfactory to test level 2 test 43.

2-43 (2270P) PASS
The test article was aligned at a nominal angle of 20 degrees, with the centre of the vehicle aligned to the corner to the backup structure which in this case was the Armorzone MASH TL2 longitudinal barrier. The test was conducted using a commercially available 2012 RAM 1500 pickup truck with a test inertial mass of 4,992.3 lbs. (2,264.5 kg). The test was conducted with twenty-one (21) Armorzone units behind the end treatment, the first eight (8) units behind the end treatment were on concrete and the remaining rested on compacted soil. The intended impact point for this test was established by having the centre of the vehicle aligned with the field side front corner of the first Armorzone unit. This resulted in first contact occurring between the respective corners of the vehicle's bumper and the end treatment. The test vehicle impacted the end treatment at a velocity of 46.42 mph (74.71 km/h) and an impact angle of 20.5°. The impact forced the end treatment and Armorzone units to rotate towards the field side and rearwards about the original tangent installation. All units remained attached to one another and the vehicle separated from the article at 0.605 s. The vehicle's exit speed and angle upon exit were 23.63 mph (38.03 km/h) and 17.2°, respectively. The vehicle gated through the system in a stable manner. The end treatment's performance was deemed as acceptable to the MASH standard based on the 2-44 test conducted. The test vehicle came to a controlled stop and remained upright. The test vehicle was not penetrated and the occupant compartment deformation limits were not exceeded.

**2-44 (2270P)**

PASS

This test is primarily intended to evaluate the performance of a staged terminal or crash cushion. The ArmorZone MASH TL2 End Treatment is not a staging device and therefore this test was not conducted.

**2-45 (1500A)**

Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports):
Laboratory Name: Applus IDIADA KARCO Engineering, LLC

Laboratory Signature: Steven Matsusaka

Address: 9270 Holly Rd, Adelanto, CA 92301

Country: United States of America

Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period: TL-371, July 15, 2020 - July 15, 2022

Submitter Signature*: [Signature]

Submit Form

ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:
1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

FHWA Official Business Only:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility Letter</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Key Words</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Submit Form
MASH Test 2-40 Summary

**General Information**
- Test Agency: KARCO Engineering, LLC.
- KARCO Test No.: P38213-01
- Test Designation: 2-40
- Test Date: 08/01/18

**Test Article**
- Name / Model: Armorzone MASH TL2 End Treatment
- Type: Crash Cushion
- Installation Length: 144.6 ft. (44.1 m)
- Terminal Length: End Treatment & Pin
- Road Surface: Concrete

**Test Vehicle**
- Type / Designation: 1100C
- Year, Make, and Model: 2009 Kia Rio
- Curb Mass: 2,407.4 lbs (1,092.0 kg)
- Test Inertial Mass: 2,412.9 lbs (1,094.5 kg)
- Gross Static Mass: 2,577.2 lbs (1,169.0 kg)

**Impact Conditions**
- Impact Velocity: 44.26 mph (71.23 km/h)
- Impact Angle: 0.0°
- Location / Orientation: 1/4 Offset
- Kinetic Energy: 158.0 kip-ft (214.2 kJ)

**Exit Conditions**
- Exit Velocity: N/A
- Exit Angle: N/A
- Final Vehicle Position: 31.6 ft. (9.6 m) downstream
  - Location: 13.1 ft. (4.0 m) Right
- Exit Box Criteria Met: N/A
- Vehicle Snagging: None
- Vehicle Pocketing: None
- Vehicle Stability: Satisfactory
- Maximum Roll Angle: 9.3°
- Maximum Pitch Angle: 8.9°
- Maximum Yaw Angle: 127.9°

**Occupant Risk**
- Longitudinal OIV: 28.9 ft/s (8.8 m/s)
- Lateral OIV: 1.3 ft/s (0.4 m/s)
- Longitudinal RA: -7.4 g
- Lateral RA: -4.4 g
- THIV: 28.9 ft/s (8.8 m/s)
- PHD: 7.7 g
- ASI: 0.69

**Test Article Deflections**

**Vehicle Damage**
- Vehicle Damage Scale: 12-FD-3
- CDC: 12FDEW2
- Maximum Intrusion: 0.2 in. (5 mm)
## GENERAL INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Agency</th>
<th>KARCO Engineering, LLC.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KARCO Test No.</td>
<td>P38214-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Designation</td>
<td>2-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Date</td>
<td>08/01/18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TEST ARTICLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name / Model</th>
<th>ArmorZone TL2 End Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Crash Cushion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation Length</td>
<td>155.8 ft (47.5 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Length</td>
<td>7.1 ft (2.2 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Surface</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TEST VEHICLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type / Designation</th>
<th>2270P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year, Make, and Model</td>
<td>2013 RAM 1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb Mass</td>
<td>4,877.5 lbs (2,212.5 kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Inertial Mass</td>
<td>4,980.2 lbs (2,259.0 kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Static Mass</td>
<td>4,980.2 lbs (2,259.0 kg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Impact Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Velocity</th>
<th>43.98 mph (70.78 km/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact Angle</td>
<td>0.3°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location / Orientation</td>
<td>1.0 in. (25 mm) left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinetic</td>
<td>322.0 kip-ft (436.6 kJ)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Exit Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exit Velocity</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final Vehicle Position</td>
<td>25.2 ft. (7.7 m) Downstream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Box Criteria Met.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Snagging</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Pocketing</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Stability</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Roll Angle</td>
<td>14.2 °</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Pitch Angle</td>
<td>-12.5 °</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Yaw Angle</td>
<td>-19.2 °</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Occupant Risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Longitudinal OIV</th>
<th>23.3 ft/s (7.1 m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lateral OIV</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal RA</td>
<td>-4.2 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral RA</td>
<td>1.6 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THIV</td>
<td>23.3 ft/s (7.1 m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHD</td>
<td>4.0 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASI</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Test Article Deflections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Static</th>
<th>6.4 ft. (2.0 m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic</td>
<td>8.8 ft. (2.7 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Width</td>
<td>11.3 ft. (3.4 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris Field</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Vehicle Damage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Damage Scale</th>
<th>12-FC-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>12FCEW3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Intrusion</td>
<td>0.3 in. (8 mm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**MASH Test 2-44 Summary**

### General Information
- **Test Agency**: KARCO Engineering, LLC.
- **KARCO Test No.**: P38227-01
- **Test Designation**: 2-44
- **Test Date**: 08/02/18

### Impact Conditions
- **Impact Velocity**: 46.42 mph (74.71 km/h)
- **Longitudinal OIV**: 29.9 ft/s (9.1 m/s)
- **Impact Angle**: 20.5°
- **Lateral OIV**: 2.0 ft/s (0.6 m/s)
- **THIV**: 29.9 ft/s (9.1 m/s)
- **Kinetic Energy**: 359.6 kip-ft (487.6 kJ)

### Test Article
- **Name / Model**: Armorzone MASH TL2 End Treatment
- **Exit Velocity**: 23.63 mph (38.03 km/h)
- **ASI**: 0.96
- **Type**: Crash Cushion
- **Installation Length**: 144.6 ft. (44.1 m)
- **Terminal Length**: End Treatment unit and pin
- **Road Surface**: Concrete

### Exit Conditions
- **Exit Velocity**: 23.63 mph (38.03 km/h)
- **Exit Angle**: 17.2°
- **Final Vehicle Position**: 82.3 ft. (25.1 m) dw
- **Vehicle Snagging**: N/A
- **Vehicle Pocketing**: None
- **Vehicle Stability**: None
- **Maximum Roll Angle**: Satisfactory
- **Maximum Pitch Angle**: -1.6°
- **Maximum Yaw Angle**: -5.4°
- **Maximum Yaw Angle**: -20.9°

### Occupant Risk
- **Longitudinal OIV**: 29.9 ft/s (9.1 m/s)
- **Lateral OIV**: 2.0 ft/s (0.6 m/s)
- **Longitudinal RA**: 3.9 g
- **Lateral RA**: 1.5 g
- **THIV**: 29.9 ft/s (9.1 m/s)
- **PHD**: 3.9 g
- **ASI**: 0.96

### Test Article Deflections
- **Vehicle Snagging**: N/A
- **Vehicle Pocketing**: None
- **Vehicle Stability**: None
- **Maximum Roll Angle**: Satisfactory
- **Maximum Pitch Angle**: -1.6°
- **Maximum Yaw Angle**: -5.4°

### Vehicle Damage
- **Year, Make, and Model**: 2012 Ram 1500
- **Curb Mass**: 4,943.8 lbs (2,242.5 kg)
- **Test Inertial Mass**: 4,992.3 lbs (2,264.5 kg)
- **Gross Static Mass**: 4,992.3 lbs (2,264.5 kg)
- **Maximum Intrusion**: 0.2 in. (5 mm)
- **Vehicle Damage Scale**: 12-FD-3
- **CDC**: 12FDEW2

---

**Figure 14 Summary of Test 2-44**
MASH Test 2-42 Summary

Figure 17 Summary of Test 2-42
MASH Test 2-43 Summary

Test Agency: KARCO Engineering, LLC.
KARCO Test No.: P38216-01
Test Designation: 2-43
Test Date: 08/06/18

Impact Conditions
Impact Velocity: 44.59 mph (71.76 km/h)
Impact Angle: 5.4°
Location / Orientation: Vehicle CL to nose of system
Kinetic Energy: 331.8 kip-ft (449.9 kJ)

Exit Conditions
Exit Box Criteria Met: N/A
ASI: 0.69

Test Vehicle
Type / Designation: 2270P
Year, Make, and Model: 2012 RAM 1500
Curb Mass: 5,054.0 lbs (2,292.5 kg)
Test Inertial Mass: 4,992.3 lbs (2,264.5 kg)
Gross Static Mass: 4,992.3 lbs (2,264.5 kg)

Vehicle Snagging: Satisfactory
Vehicle Pocketing: Satisfactory
Vehicle Stability: Satisfactory
Maximum Roll Angle: 9.3°
Maximum Pitch Angle: 8.9°
Maximum Yaw Angle: 127.9°

Occupant Risk
Longitudinal OIV: 28.9 ft/s (8.8 m/s)
Lateral OIV: 1.3 ft/s (0.4 m/s)
Longitudinal RA: -7.4 g
Lateral RA: -4.4 g
THIV: 28.9 ft/s (8.8 m/s)
PHD: 7.7 g
ASI: 0.69

Test Article Deflections
Static: 1.9 ft. (1.4 m)
Dynamic: 4.6 ft. (1.4 m)
Working Width: 13.6 ft (4.1 m)

Vehicle Damage
Vehicle Damage Scale: 12-FC-5
CDC: 12FDEW2
Maximum Intrusion: 0.3 in. (7 mm)
**ArmorZone™ End Treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>QTY.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Plastic Shell</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lid</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lid Plate</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lid Screw</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Standard Pin</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- No Steel Connector inside
- Weight 50kg

---
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