HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM Questions and Answers

The Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act. Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU; Public Law 109-59) establishes a new Highway Safety Improvement Program (HOP) that is structured to make significant progress in reducing highway fatalities and seriour interies. A considerable increase in funding is provided for the HSIP that is nearly double what was available in TEA-21. States will be sequired to develop and implement a Strategic Highway Safet(Plan (SHSP) that involves a comprehensive data driven approach to highway safety. The HSIP also includes set aside foods to improve safety on rural coads and at railway-highway crossings. This initial set of Questions and Answers has been prepared by the FHWA Office of Safety to outline overall provisions and address key issues, additional OcAs will be prepared as other esues arise or further clarification is appropriate.

lighway Safety Improvement Program 📿

1. What are the funding levels for the HSP and are there any sub-allocations for specific purposes?

The "new HSIP is implemented by Section 1401 of SOFETEA-LU, which establishes a new Section 148 as part of Title 23 of the United States Code (23USC148). Authorized unding levels for the new HSIP are as follows:

FY 2006 \$1,235,810,000 FY 2007 1,255,009,322 FY 2008 1,275,929,067 FY 2009 6296,474,396

Under TEA-21, States received an average Onearly \$662 motion per year order the previous HSIP provisions. The average for the next four years under SAFETEA-LU will be \$1.267 billion, nearly twice the amount under TEA-23.

Section 148 establishes sub-allocations ('set asios') for two specific purposes

1) Highway-Rail Grade Crossings: \$200,000,000 is to be set aside each fiscal year (Fiscal years 2006 – 2009) from 23US 148 for the elementation of hadreds and the installation of protective devices at Arghway-rail and the crossings (projects eligible inder 23USC130.)

2) High Risk daral Roads: \$90,000,000 each liscal year (Fiscal years 2006 – 2009) for construction and operational improvements on high rick rural roads

2. When does the new Highway afety Improvement Program become effective?

The new program will become effective on Gober 1, 2005, the beginning of FY 2006.

3. Within the HSIP, what safety elements are "sun setting" and which are emerging?

The most significant change is the elevation of the HSIP as a **c**w "core funding" program administered by FHWA, defined by 23USC148. This new section replaces the previous 27USC152 (hazard elimination program), and will incorporate hazard elimination program elements as cell as establish eligibility for additional types of highway safety improvement projects. As part of the new HSIP, each State's apportionment of HSIP funds is subject to a set aside for construction and operational improvements on high-risk rural roads and for railway-highway crossings.

4. How does the new HSIP differ from the old Hor

The "new" HSIP (23USC148) westablished and FHWA "cove" program with separate functing. Prior to SAFETEA-LU, States were required to carry out a highway safety improvement program or reduce the number and severity of highway tolated crashes and to decrease the potential for rashes on all foghways. The requirements for the provious HSIP to specified in the *Code of Federal Regulations*, Title 23, Part 9-4, were to consist of components for planning implementation, and evaluation of safety programs and projects. Several categorical safety programs, including the Hazard Elimination Frogram and the Highway-Rall Grade Crossing. Program, provided funding for the implementation of countermeasures. Under TEA-21, these programs were funded as neet-aside from Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds.

The new HSIP is eligible for additional funds from the Equity Bonus program, but HSIP funds are now subject 6 Japse. Funds as apportioned through a formula based on each State's share of ane miles and vehicle miles traveled on Federal-aid highways and fatalities on the Federal and system in each State. The new HSIP requires a State transportation department to develop a Stategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) with a process that is data driven, componensive, and includes consultation with other key safety stateholders in the State. Also new is the sub-allocation (set-acide) within each State for construction and operational improvements on Hith Risk Rural Roads.

5. Dow the new Highway Safety Improvement Program provide dedicated" Obligation Authority?

No. The new Hierway Safety improvement Program is a "core" program in the same way that the National Highway System, the Surface Transportation Program, and the Interstate Mointenance Program are "core" programs the Obligation outhority issuence a State (with certain exceptions) may be utilized at the State's discretion for any core program with sufficient unoblighted Contract Authority.

6. How long will the States have to obligate their high very safety funds before they lapse?

States will have the remainder of the occal year during which funds are apportioned plus 3 fiscal years to obligate their highway safety funds before they labe. For example, FY 2006 HSIP funds apportioned to the States would lapse if not obligated by September 30, 2009.

7. What projects are eligible under the new HSIP?

To qualify as a highway safety improvement project eligible for funding under the new HSIP (23USC148), a

project must be described in the State strategic highway safet on and correct or improve a hazardous road location or feature, or address a highway safety problem. Rejects eligible under the previous Section 152 and Section 130 remain eligible under the new HSIP. In address, the following have been specifically included as eligible highway safety improvement projects and are, therefore, eligible for HSIP funding:

- 1) The conduct of a model traffic enforcement activity and railway-high vay grade crossing;
- 2) Safety-conscious planning;
- 3) Improvement in the collection and analysis of rash data;

4) Planning, integrated interoperable emergence communications equipment, operational activities, or traffic enforcement activities (including police assistance) relating to workzone safety;

- 5) The addition or retrouting of structures or other measures to eliminate or reduce additions involving vehicles and wildlife;
- 6) Construction and operational improvements on high-risk rural oads;
- 7) Improvements for safety of the disabled;
- 8) Installation and maintenace of signs at pedestrian-bicycle crossings and in school zone

8. Section 1401 states that "other funds" can be used for safety improvement? To what "other funds" does this refer? Does this mean that Wi, STP and NHS funds can be used on local and rural minor collectors, as HSIP funds can?

HSIP funds can be used on any public road or publicly owned bicycle or pedestrian pathway or trail, evept for those funds specifically set-aside formigh risk rural made and railwal nighway crossings. This provision of SALETEA-LU endurages States is address the full scope of their afety needs and opportunitiet on all badway categories by using fanding sources such as Interstate Maintenance (UA), Surface Transportation Program (STP) and National highway System (NHS) funds in addition to USIP funds. However, the basic eligibility equirements of these funding sources do not change; e.g., NHS funds can be used for safety-related construction projects on National Highway System nutes, but not on local roads or construct minor collectors. Similar eligibility equirements for STP & IM funds also must be recognized. Incedition, safety belt patformance grades under section 2005 may be cred for infrastructure safety projects after the State has met the 51 million threshold for behavioral safety expenditures.

9. What is FHWA's role in approving a States HSIP?

As part of the FHWA's oversight and stewardship responsituities, the FHWA Division Office in each State must assure that the HSP process carried out in each State meets the requirements stated in Section 148.

10. What are the reporting requirements under the new HSIP?

Three reports are specified in Section 48:

- The Secretary is required to submit a biennial report to Congress on the Highway-Rail Grade Crossings Program under the requirements specified in Section 130(g) of Title 23. The first report to Congress is due on April 1, 2006.
- The States are required to submit an annual report to the Secretary, which will be posted on the

Department of Transportation's web site, that describes at least 5 percent of locations identified by the State that exhibit the most severe safety needs. This reportmust contain an assessment of potential remedies to the locations identified, stimated costs associated with these remedies, and impediments to implementation other than cost associated with those remedies.

• The States are required to submit a report to the Secretary that describes the progress being made to implement highway safety improvement projects under Section, No, assesses the effectiveness of these improvements, and describes the extent to which the improvements contribute to the goals of:

reducing the number of ratalities on madways; reducing the number of roadway-related injuries; reducing the occurrences of roadway-related crashes; mitigating the consequences of roadway-related crashes; and reducing the occurrences of crashes at high ray-rail grade cossings.

The annual reports required under TFO-21 for the Inistway-Rail Grave Crossings Program and the Hazard Elimination Program under Section 130(g) and 152(g), respectively, are due by December 30, 2005. The information obtained for the Highway-Rail Grave Crossings Program will be used in the Report to Congress required of the USDOT.

The FHVA Office of Safety will be developing additional guidance of the above recording requirements.

trategic Highway Strety Plan

11. What is a Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP)

vider 23 USC149, State DOTs are required, after consultation with public antiprivate safety stakeholders, to develop and implement a Strategic Highway Cafety Plan (SfOSP). The purpose of an SHSP is to identify critical highway safety problems and oppertunities within the State. The SHSP provides a comprehensive framework for reducing highway fatalities and seriots injuries, enabling the State to stake data driven strategic investment decisions.

The SHSP considers the results of state, regional or local transportation and ughway safety planning processes. The SHSP integrates the "four E's" of safety — engineering, education, inforcement and emergency services efforts.

12. What are the benefite at developing an SHSP?

The collaborative process of developing and implementing an SHSP is beneficial because it brings together and draws on the strengths and resources of all safety partners. The process encourages active and ongoing communication and cooperation mong state and local safety partners, to maximize compatibility among individual safety countermeasure programs to achieve statewide safety performance goals for all public roads. Other benefits include:

• Establishment of common goal and priorities, which can be more effectively communicated to the public and political leaders

- Strengthening existing partnerships
- Building new safety coalitions

- Sharing data, knowledge, and resources
- Avoiding redundant activities and leveraging existing resources funds, people, and leadership attention toward common objectives
- Clearly communicating the benefits of investing additional cources for highway safety countermeasures

SAFETEA-LU requires States to develop and implement an SHSP in order to obligate fores for Section 148 eligible activities. Once a State has developed an SHSP, SAFETEA-Cb allows 10% on the State's HSIP funds to be used for other safety projects listed in their SHSP, provided the state certifies to railway-higtway crossing and infrastructure safety needs have been net.

13. What is the relationship between SHSP and KNP?

SAFETEA-LU created a new "kere" safety program in Section 148 known ascie Highway Safety Improvement Grogram (HSH2) which provide significantly more funds to Grate DOTs for safety improvement projects. This new, more comprehensive LSIP replaces the former Section 152, Hazard Elimination Program, and commuss to provide funding for the provisions contained in Section 130, Railwa@Highway Crossing Safety. As a condition to receive ultifunding, the few HSIP required each State to develop a Strategic Highway Safety Ban (SHSP) that involves a comprehensive, collaborative, and vata driven approach which considers the four E's (Engineering, Education, Enforcement, Emergency Services) of highway safety. The process of developing an SESP establishes the overall fragework for analysis of priority needs and opportunities, and also considentify complementary and Grintly funded activities among state and local partners. The HSIP funds administered by the HWA are focused primarily comfrastructure based safety projects decribed in the SHSP and implemented by the state DOT, and order partners are encouraged to fullize the MSP as a guide to investing the safety funds.

4 What is the deadline for States to have a completed SHSP.

States are required to have developed and implemented a SHSP by October 2006 in order to obligate funds for all HSIR (Section 148) eligible activities.

15. What happens if a State Desn't meet the deadline?

Before October 1, 2006 and until a State has developed and implemented a SHSP, the State may only obligate its apportioned HSIP funds (Section 73) for projects that were eligible for funding under Sections 130 and the previous Section 152; in other works, the program hat was in effect on the day before enactment of SAFETEA-LU.

If a State has not developed a SHSP by October 1, 2007 (fiscal year 2008), their apportionment under the HSIP (Section 148) will be "frozen" at the rise of year 2007 level for that and all subsequent years until an SHSP is developed.

16. Does FHWA approve the SHSP developed by the Spie transportation department?

No. A State-level SHSP is to be approved by the State Governor or a cesponsible State agency. As part of the FHWA's Oversight and Stewardship responsibilities, the FHWA must ensure that the State has developed and implemented an SHSP that adheres to requirements included in the definition of State Strategic Highway Safety Plan" contained in Section 148(a)(6). The FHWA Office of Safety intends to develop additional guidance on this and other SHSP-related issues in cooperation with the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Admanstration, the Federal Railroad Administration, and the Federal Transit Administration.

High Risk Rural Roads

17. Is the High Risk Rural Reads safety provision dedicated Aclusively to rural roads?

Yes. The HSD includes a schaside for convertion and operational improvements to address safety problems and opportunities on High Pask Rural Roads. This set aside of \$90 million each fiscal year for high-risk rural roads is finited to roadways functionally classified as or ural major oppoint collector or as a rural local road.

18. How are High Risk Rural Roads identified? Is there flexibility allowed in determining fatality rates and incapacitating injury rate?

Roldways functionally classified a rural major of minor collector or as a rural local road are eligible. In addition, the roadway must have a crash rate for fatalities and meapacitating inturies that exceeds the statewide average for those functional classes of roadways. Roadway care also eligible if future tractic volumes are projected to increase causing a projected accrease in the crash rate for fatalities and incapacitating injuries that exceeds the statewide average.

To determine whether a roadway is eligible based on its crash rate, States must first calculate the statewide average crasheate for fatalities and incapacitating injuries for each functional class of roadway. Flexibility will be allowed to use differency pes of crash cares depending on the data available in each State. Some examples include mashes per VMC crashes per nile, etc.

19. What safety funds can be used on roads owned and operated by local units of government?

Section 148 HSIP funds are eligible for use on any public road (except for those funds specifically set-aside for high risk rural roads and railway-highway crossing), which have further eligibility requirements), including those owned and operated by local entities. Any improvements at any public highway-rail grade crossings are eligible under Section 130.

It is very important that States have with data system with the ability to identify safety problems and opportunities on all public roads, that these needs are considered within the Strategic Highway Safety Plan process, and that States work with localities to ensure that safety improvements are implemented where they are needed the most.

20. The Section 148 set-aside of \$90M for high risk ural roads in to be used for "construction and operational improvements." Does this include planning and preliminary engineering for safetyrelated project as ancillary to those ends, or are those cost virictly prohilided?

As long as the project will ultimately involve a construction or operation mprovement which is identified as part of a State's HSIP process, funds from the set aside or high risk fund roads for polyminary engineering (includes environmental approvals int final design would be eligible.

Railway-Highway Grade Cros

21. What changes are there to the previous section 130

The previous Section 130, Raiway Highway Crossings, is carried forward essentially intact. The primary changes an that the funding source is now "set aside" from the Highway Safety Improvement Program of \$220 nithion per year (a significant increase of approximately \$65 million annually loyond TEA-2 (levels), Canc and that a new provision has been acced allowing states to use up 62% of the furth apportioned to their State inder this program for compilation and analysis or data in meeting their reporting requirement.

22. What are the roorting requirements under the Section 13 program?

following reporting requirements pertain to highway-rail and crossings

• The Secretary is required to submit a biennial report to Congressor the Highway Rail Grade ossings Program under the record memory specified in Section 20(g) of Title 23.

As part of the HSIP reporting requirement to the Secretary that is stated order Section 148(g) of Title each Gate's report nut describe the each of the improvements funded under this section contrillete to the goal of reducing the vecurrences of crashes at high way-rail grade crossings.

Cance. nceled

Index

- Highway Safety Improvement Program 1. What are the funding revels for the HS1 and are there any sub-allocations for specific purposes?
 - 2. When does the new Highway Safet (Improvement Program become effective?
 - 3. Within the HSIP, what safety defaults are "sun seture" and which are emerging?
 - 4. How does the new HSIP difference on the old HSU
 - 5. Does the new Highway Safety Improvement of gram provide "dedicated" Obligation Authority?
 - 6. How long will the States have to obligate the highway safety funds before they lapse?
 - 7. What projects are eligible under the never SIP?
 - 8. Section 1401 states that "other funds" can be used for safety improvements. To what "other funds" does this refer? Does this mean that IM, STP and NHS funds can be used on local and rural minor collectors, as HSIP funds can?
 - 9. What is FHWA's role in approving a State's HSIP?

Canceled 10. What are the reporting requirements under the new HSIP?

Strategic Highway Safety Plan

- 11. What is a Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP)?
- 12. What are the benefits of developing an SHSP?

- Canceled
- 10. What happens if a State doesn't meet the deadline.
 16. Does FHWA approve the SHSP developed by the State transportation department?
 17. Is the High Risk Rural Roads safety provision dedicated exclusively to rural roads?
 18. How are High Risk Rural Roads identified? Is there flexibility allowed in determining a rates?
 19. What safety funds can be used on 20. The Service 18. How are High Risk Rural Roads identified? Is there flexiblity allowed in determining fatality rules and incapacitating injury ed

ed

Canceled

- 19. What safety funds can be used on roads owned and exertated by local units of government 20. The Section 148 set-aside of \$90 I for high risk noral roads is only to be used for "construction and operational improvements." The Section 148 set-aside of \$2004 for high risk real roads is only tope used for constituction and operational improvements. Does this include planning and preliminary engineering for safetorelated project as ancillary to those truts, or are those bosts strictly prohibited? **ay-Highway Gradocrossings** What changes are there to the previous Section 130 program? What are the reporting requirements under the Section 130 program? Railway-Highway Gradocrossings 21. What changes are mere to the previous Section 130 program? 22. What are the reporting requirements under the Section 130 program? Canceled Canceled

Railway-Highway Grade Crossings

nceled

Canceled

Canceled

Canceled

- canceled Canceled

Canceled

Canceled

canceled